UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,534	04/25/2001	William Roberts	0717.2010-000	7411	
21005	7590 04/20/200 BROOK, SMITH & RE		EXAMINER  KIM, RICHARD H  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
530 VIRGINIA		T NOLDS, F.C.			
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, M					
CONCORD, IV	IA 01742-9155		2871		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/843,534	ROBERTS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard H. Kim	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nature at Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or <i>(</i> 3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	r (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply origing that three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further c  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			ne issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		•
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co s):	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	l ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil ovided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 67-114. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	id sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The effective and the second sufficient reasons who is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea ry and was not earlier presented.  Se	il and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

David Neirths
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 67, 84, 87, 104 and 106 of "with an interference fit" would require further search and/or consideration.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800